


LEGEND




AREA TREATED BY M-5. DRY WELL



AREA OF PAVEMENT TREATED BY N-2, NON-ROOFTOP DISCONNECTION




AREA OF N-2, NON-ROOFTOP DISCONNECTION



M-5, SC-740 STORMTECH CHAMBER (MOD. DRY WELL) LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE

— SUPER SILT FENCE STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE ---- EX. OVERHEAD POWER LINE



PROPOSED PRACTICES

M-5, DRY WELL

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

SWM PRACTICES SCHEDULE

REQUIRED ESDV PROVIDED ESDV

N/A

318.3 C.F.

N/A

313.9 C.F.

VICINITY MAP SCALE: 1"=1000"

NOTES:

JUNDRTHFIELD R'

SITE

ADC MAP 27 GRID D-2

1. SITE ANALYSIS DATA: LOCATION: TAX MAP: 24 PARCEL: 696 LOT: 16 GRID: 23 ELECTION DISTRICT : SECOND ZONING: R-20 TOTAL AREA: 44,657 SQ. FT. (1.03 AC.±) AREA OF ROAD DEDICATION: 0 LIMIT OF DISTURBED AREA: 0.39 AC.± PROPOSED USE FOR SITE : RESIDENTIAL. TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS: 2 TYPE OF PROPOSED UNIT: SFD DPZ FILE NUMBERS: ECP-14-022, SDP-14-050

2. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL DATUMS ARE RELATED TO THE MARYLAND STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM AS PROJECTED FROM HOWARD COUNTY CONTROL STATIONS NO. 24IB AND 0010 N 578,753.5105, E 1,362,302.9147, ELEV. 390.5419 N 579,167.0667, E 1,360,260.357, ELEV. 357.136 STA. No. 24IB STA. No. 0010

3. THIS PLAN IS SUBJECT TO THE AMENDED FIFTH EDITION OF THE SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (COUNCIL BILL 45-2003). DEVELOPMENT OR CONSTRUCTION OF THESE LOTS MUST COMPLY WITH SETBACK AND BUFFER REGULATIONS IN EFFECT AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN, WAIVER PETITION OR BUILDING/GRADING PERMIT.

4. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVAL BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO BUILDING PERMITS BEING ISSUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING ON THIS PARCEL.

5. THIS DEVELOPMENT IS DESIGNED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 16.127 RESIDENTIAL INFILL DEVELOPMENT OF SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS. THE DEVELOPERS OF THIS PROJECT SHALL CREATE COMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING NEIGHBORHOOD THROUGH THE USE OF ENHANCED PERIMETER LANDSCAPING, BERMS, FENCES, SIMILAR HOUSING UNIT TYPES AND THE DIRECTIONAL ORIENTATION OF THE PROPOSED HOUSES.

6. NO WETLANDS, FLOODPLAIN, STREAMS OR THEIR BUFFERS OR STEEP SLOPES EXIST ON OR IMPACT THIS PARCEL.

7. APPROVAL OF THIS ECP DOES NOT CONSTITUTE ANY APPROVAL OF SUBSEQUENT SUBDIMSION OR SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS. FURTHER COMMENTS WILL BE GENERATED UPON REVIEW OF THE APPLICABLE DEVELOPMENT PLANS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SUBDIVISION AND ZONING REGULATIONS. 8. NO FOREST EXISTS ON SITE.

9. THIS SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN A HISTORIC DISTRICT.

10. THIS PROJECT WILL PAY A FEE-IN-LIEU OF FOREST CONSERVATION WITH THE FINAL SUBDIVISION PLAN.

SITE ANALYSIS DATA:

1. AREA OF THE SITE = 1.03 AC \pm (44,657 S.F.)

2. LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE = $0.39 \text{ AC} \pm (16,867 \text{ S.F.})$

3. AREA OF THE ROAD DEDICATION =0

4. AREA OF WETLANDS AND ITS BUFFERS = 0 5. AREA OF FLOODPLAIN AND BUFFERS = 0

6. AREA OF STREAM BUFFER = 0

7. AREA OF STEEP SLOPES (15% OR GREATER) AND BUFFERS = 0

8. AREA OF FOREST = 0

9. TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVE AREA = 0

10. DEVELOPABLE AREA = $0.39 \pm ACRES$ (16,867 S.F.)

10. PROPOSED OPEN SPACE AREA = 0 AC ±

11. PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA = $0.07 \text{ AC} \pm (3,060 \text{ S.F.})$

12. AREA OF ERODIBLE SOILS = 0 ac ± 13. THIS SITE CONTAINS LOB SOILS.

14. ONE NEW SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED DWELLING IS PROPOSED.

ESD NARRATIVE

NO NATURAL RESOURCES SUCH AS STEEP SLOPES, WETLANDS, STREAMS, OR REGULATED FOREST LAND EXIST ON-SITE. THE PROPOSED NON ROOFTOP DISCONNECTIONS (N-2) AND DRY WELL (M-5) WILL PROVIDE STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT FOR THE NEW DEVELOPMENT.


THE IMPROVEMENTS AND DEVELOPED AREA WILL MAINTAIN THE EXISTING DRAINAGE PATTERNS AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE. NO IMPACT IS PROPOSED THAT WOULD ALTER ANY NATURAL FLOW PATTERNS.

NON-STRUCTURAL PRACTICES WHERE POSSIBLE WERE USED TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT. NON-ROOFTOP DISCONNECTIONS WAS UTILIZED. STRUCTURAL PRACTICES WERE NOT USED. USE OF THE ALTERNATIVE SURFACES WAS INVESTIGATED. DUE TO THE EXISTING TERAIN, (AVERAGE SLOPE OF 12%) IS IS NOT FESEABLE.

THE REQUIRED EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST MDE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS UTILIZING SILT FENCES AND SUPER SILT FENCES. NO CONCENTRATION FLOW IS PROPOSED. NO SEDIMENT TRAPS OR BASINS ARE REQUIRED.


TABLE 5.2, SUMMARY OF SITE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES WERE UTILIZED TO THE EXTENT ALLOWABLE BY THE CURRENT HOWARD COUNTY ZONING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS. ESD PLANNING TECHNIQUES FAVOR NON-STRUCTURAL PRACTICES OVER STRUCTURAL PRACTICES. BY IMPLEMENTING NON-ROOFTOP DISCONNECTIONS (N-2) TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICAL FOR THIS PROJECT, ESD PLANNING HAS BEEN ACHIVED.

IN DESIGNING THIS PROJECT, AND AFTER WE PLAN THE PROJECT TO MINIMIZE IMPERVIOUS AREAS, WE UTILIZED ESD MEASURES IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER OF PREFERENCE: A. DISCONNECTION OF NON-ROOFTOP (N-2) B. DRY WELLS (M-5)



DRYWELL TYP. DETAIL

CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING DIVISION CHIEF, DIVISION OF LAND DEVELOPMENT



HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND, LICENSE NO. 17942, EXP DATE 09/03/16

OF

ERG,

MILDENBE BOENDER

PARCEL STRICT

AX

ECP-15-014