May 31, 2024

Development Partners, LLC Attn: Justin Boy 82 East Lake Drive Annapolis, MD 21403

RE: WP-24-088, Pointers View

#### Dear Applicant:

This letter is to inform you that your request for alternative compliance to the Howard County Subdivision and Land Development Regulations for the subject project was reviewed.

On May 23, 2024 and pursuant to Section 16.1216, the Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning, Director of the Recreation and Parks and Administrator of the Office of Community Sustainability considered and approved your request for a variance with respect to Section 16.1205(a)(3) of the Subdivision and Land Development Regulations to remove one (1) specimen tree. Please see the attached Final Decision Action Report for more information.

On May 8, 2024 and pursuant to Section 16.104, the Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning, considered and **approved** your request for alternative compliance with respect to **Sections 16.119(f)(3) & 16.127(c)(4)(i)** of the Subdivision and Land Development Regulations to utilize individual driveways for the two (2) lot subdivision.

The Department of Planning and Zoning hereby determines that you have demonstrated to its satisfaction that strict enforcement of Sections 16.119(f)(3) & 16.127(c)(4)(i) would result in an unreasonable hardship or practical difficulty. This determination is made with consideration of your alternative compliance application and the four (4) items you were required to address, pursuant to Section 16.104(a)(1):

# 1. Strict conformance with the requirements will deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by others in similar areas;

This property fronts on South Trotter Road which is classified as a major collector road. Per the Howard County Subdivision and Land Development Regulations, subdivisions with no other means of access except from a restricted access road may be approved for a single use-in-common driveway. The residential infill regulations also require existing driveway entrances onto a public road be connected to a single use-in-common driveway or abandoned. South Trotter Road was created sometime between 1993 and 1998 when Route 32 and Great Star Drive were completed and placed into operation, bisecting Trotter Road into two segments. The 2000 General Plan retained South Trotter Road and this designation was carried through to Plan Howard 2030 and HoCo by Design. The Office of Transportation has expressed that based on the existing and proposed land use, and its limited function connecting communities, a neighborhood yield street may be a more appropriate designation for South Trotter Road, which would not restrict access to a single driveway. Additionally, the seven single family

detached properties along South Trotter Road utilize individual driveways, so strict conformance with the regulations would deprive the applicant the right to develop the two (2) lots with individual driveway access which is a common right enjoyed by others in the area. By allowing individual driveways for the two (2) lots, this subdivision will remain consistent with the surrounding character of South Trotter Road.

# 2. Uniqueness of the property or topographical conditions would result in practical difficulty; other than economic, or unreasonable hardship from strict adherence to the regulations;

This property is unique in the fact that a loop-style driveway currently provides access to the existing dwelling unit, so two (2) access points to the property from South Trotter Road already exist. The applicant is proposing to continue to use these two (2) existing access points for the individual driveways for each lot. Strict adherence to the regulations would require the applicant to abandon the existing access points, provide a new access point, and create an access easement across the two properties resulting in an unreasonable hardship.

#### 3. The Variance will not confer to the applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants and;

Since this request is to retain the two (2) existing driveway access points and not a request to increase the number of access points, approval of this variance would not confer to the applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants. Typically, in redevelopment scenarios, it is preferred that the existing access points be used rather than creating a new point of access, and most applicants are encouraged to use the existing access in redevelopment projects.

### 4. The modification is not detrimental to the public health; safety or welfare, or injurious to other properties.

Since the property currently contains two (2) driveway access points off South Trotter Road, and the applicant is proposing to utilize these two (2) existing access points, retaining the two access points is not detrimental to public health, safety, or welfare as the access points already exist. The addition of a second house generates less than five (5) additional peak hour trips, and both entrances meet the requirements of Design Manual Volume III, Complete Streets, Section 2.5.E & 2.1.E.3 for Intersection Sight Distance and Stopping Sight Distance.

Approval of this Alternative Compliance is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The alternative compliance approval grants the applicant the authority to remove one specimen tree, Specimen Tree #5, as shown on the alternative compliance exhibit and the final supplemental plan. The removal of any other specimen tree on the subject property is not permitted under this approval.
- 2. Provide the planting of two (2) 3" DBH native Maryland trees on-site as mitigation for the removal of Specimen Tree #5. The two mitigation trees shall be shown on the final subdivision landscape and forest conservation plan sheets and must be bonded along with the developer's required landscape obligation.
- 3. Access to South Trotter Road is restricted to the 20-foot access points approved on the final subdivision plan. The statement "vehicular egress and ingress is restricted" shall be shown along the frontage, accept where the approved access points are located.

Indicate this alternative compliance petition file number, request, section of the regulations, action, conditions of approval, and date on all related plats, and site development plans, and building permits. This alternative compliance approval will remain valid for one year from the date of this letter or as long as a subdivision or site development plan is being actively processed in accordance with the processing provisions of the Regulations.

If you have any questions, please contact Jason Lenker at (410) 313-4394 or email at <a href="mailto:jlenker@howardcountymd.gov">jlenker@howardcountymd.gov</a>.

Sincerely, \_\_\_\_\_\_DocuSigned by:

Anthony Cataldo, AICP, Chief Division of Land Development

AC/JL

cc: Research

DLD - Julia Sauer Real Estate Services

DNR – <u>fca.dnr@maryland.gov</u>

Justin Boy – <u>justin@cornerstone-homes.com</u>

Benchmark



(410) 313-2350

DPZ Office Use only: File No. 17-711-088 Date Filed

### ALTERNATIVE COMPLIANCE APPLICATION

Site Description: residential lot

**Pointers View** 

Subdivision Name/Property Identification:

Location of property: 6536 S Trotter Road Existing Use: residential single family detached home Proposed Use: residential single family detached homes

**Election District: 5** 

Parcel No: 60 Tax Map: 35 Grid: 20 Total site area: 1.28 ac. Zoning District: R-20

Please list all previously submitted or currently active plans on file with the County (subdivision plans, Board of

Appeals petitions, alternative compliance petitions, etc.). If no previous plans have been submitted, please provide a

brief history of the site and related information to the request:

PB 5 P 63: recorded on November 8, 1956 ECP-24-028: signed on February 22, 2024

In the area below, the petitioner shall enumerate the specific numerical section(s) from the Subdivision and Land Development Regulations for which an alternative compliance is being requested and provide a brief summary of the request. Please use the additional page if needed.

Section Reference No.	Brief Summary of Request	
Subtitle 1 Article II Section 16.119(f)(3)	Request to continue to utilize the existing 2 separate driveway entrances off South Trotter Road and not combine into one use-in-common driveway	
Subtitle 1 Article II Section 16.127(c)(4)(i)	Request to continue to utilize the existing 2 separate driveway entrances off South Trotter Road and not combine into one use-in-common driveway	

Section Reference No.	Reference No. Brief Summary of Request		
Subtitle 12	Request to remove or	ne (1) specimen tree with a diameter of 30 inches or	
16.1205(a)(3)	greater.		
	21 2 -	2/2-1	
Signature of Property Ow	mer: R	Date: 3/20/24  Date: 3/20/2024	
Signature of Petitioner Pr	reparer:	Date: 3/20/2024	
Name of Property Owner	Development Partners, LLC	Name of Petition Preparer: Benchmark Engineering, Inc	
Address: 82 East Lake		Address: 3300 N Ridge Road, Suite 140	
Address. 92 200 200			
City, State, Zip: Annapolis, Maryland 21403		City, State, Zip: Ellicott City, Maryland 21043	
E-Mail: justin@cornerstone-homes.com		E-Mail: bei@bei-civilengineering.com	
E-Mail: Justin@comersto	ne-itomes.com	P-MAU: Deligher-dancingheering com	
Phone No.: 410-792-2565		Phone No.: 410-465-6105	
Contact Person: Justin B	оу	Contact Person: D Thompson	
Owner's Author			